

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). [	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,748 11/30.		11/30/2000	0/2000 Prathap Haridoss	10964-043001/ Case 629	4182
26161	7590	06/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & F		SON PC	CANTELMO, GREGG		
BOSTON, MA 02110			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/727,748	HARIDOSS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN INO DATE (41)	Gregg Cantelmo	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2005</u> .							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-5,21,22 and 25-32 is/are pending is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5,21,22 and 25-32 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examination  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b)  objected to by the I						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intonious Summer	(PTO 412)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 11, 2005 has been entered.

# Response to Amendment

- 2. In response to the amendment received April 4, 2005:
  - a. Claims 1-5, 21-22 and 25-32 are pending with claims 6-20 and 23-24 having been cancelled as per Applicant's request;
  - b. The prior art rejections are withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 21-22 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by .S. patent No. 4,017,663 (Breault), of record.

Breault discloses a fuel cell electrode comprising a catalyst, a first material of tungsten oxide (same first material as identified in the instant claims and thus inherently

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Art Unit: 1745

resistant to oxidation up to about 3 volts vs. SHE) and a non-electrolytic material different than the catalyst (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 5 and prior art claim 6). The catalyst is distributed on the graphite *and tungsten oxide* (see prior art claim 1). The catalyst load is 0.25 mg/cm³ (col. 3, II. 40-45). The weight of the mixed catalyst and tungsten oxide is 80% with the balance being the Teflon polymer (col. 3, II. 1-5 as applied to claims 1 and 21). The non-electrolytic material in this example is 20 weight percent Teflon i.e., polytetrafluorethylene (col. 3, II. 3 as applied to claims 7, 8 and 10). Alternatively the non-electrolytic material can be FEP-120 which is a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and hexafluoropropylene (col. 2, II. 55-60 as applied to claims 1 and 21).

A first resistant material of tungsten oxide is also present in the electrode mixture. The noble metal catalyst is mixed with the tungsten oxide. Since the material is the same as those set forth in the species of the instant claims, the tungsten oxide in the prior art composition is expected to have the same properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658. Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). See col. 3, II. 3 and col. 3, II. 26-31 of Breault as applied to claims 1 and 21).

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748

Art Unit: 1745

The catalysts are Pt and Ru which are capable of catalyzing oxidation of a fuel cell gas and capable of undergoing reversible oxide formation (col. 3, II. 1-2 as applied to claims 2, 4, 5 and 22).

The fuel cell gas inherently comprises hydrogen (as applied to claim 3).

The catalyst total weight is 64% (col. 3, II. 1-5 as applied to claims 25 and 29).

The first material is tungsten oxide (as applied to claims 26-28 and 30-32).

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues:

While Breault had previously been withdrawn as a rejection, in light of a prior amendment, the amendments to the claims made thereafter have redefined the claimed invention such that, once more, Breault anticipates the claims for the reasons set forth above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregg Cantelmo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. FAXES received after 4 p.m. will not be processed until the following business day.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,748 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1745** 

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

June 9, 2005